

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/517,061	05/26/2005	John Andrew Fernie	G0365.0374	8569	
32172	7590 10/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	N SHAPIRO MORIN &	DIXON, MERRICK L			
1177 AVENU 41 ST FL.	JE OF THE AMERICAS (6	oth avenue)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10036-2714		1774		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.65	10/517,061	FERNIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Merrick Dixon	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ma	ay 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT0	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	a.				
	MERRICK DIX	ON ON				
Attachment(s)	PRIMARY EXAM	NER				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite atent Application (PTO-	152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-3-044/4/05</u> .	6) Other:	.,	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/517,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the claim contains an improper Markush group. See claims 3,4,7,16, also.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lachman et al(US 5039644).

The cited reference teaches the claimed invention including a composition comprising spinel, ceramic binder material and a metal oxide- col 1, lines 65-68; col 3, lines 17-20; col 3, lines 65- col 4, line 6; col 6, lines 15-20; col 5, lines 10-31. concerning claims 2 and 3, the reference teaches aluminium chlorohydrate- col 3, lines 19-20. concerning claims 4,5 and 7, the reference teaches talc in col 3, line 68. concerning claim 6, the reference teaches alumina in col 3, lines 15-17. concerning claim 8, the reference teaches same- col 2, line 11.

4. Claims 9-12 and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechmann et al(US 5039644) as applied to claims 1-8 above, and further in view of Nichols et al(US 5952093).

The reference teaches that it is well known in the art to include vermiculite and lamellarlike particles in reinforced composite taught by the Lachman et al reference- col 2, lines Art Unit: 1774

7

14-22; col 5, lines 1-30. It would have been obvious to include such additives, in the absence of unexpected results motivated by the desire to ompart dimensional stability to the reference.

- 5. Claims 17 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nichols et al(US 5952093). The reference teaches the claimed process including mixing similar material, as claimed, and pyrolysing the resulting mixture- col 3, line 51- col 4, line 22; ; col 7, lines 31-67;col 10, lines 5-27; col 8, lines 39-54. Concerning claims 18 and 19, the reference teaching coating steps- col 10, lines 28-39.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Anderson et al(US 6156835) and McLeod(US 3932339) are cited of interest to show the state of the art.

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information about the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (Private PAIR).

Status inquires for published applications may be retrieved from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700